India currently faces a human rights crisis on multiple fronts. The government has fostered a climate of fear and discrimination that threatens the largest, and one of the most vibrant, democracies in the world. This may strain U.S.-India relations, which officials from both countries have long claimed are built on a foundation of shared democratic and rights-respecting values.

After securing an increased majority in the 2019 general elections and appointing Amit Shah as the Home Minister, the Government of India made a surprise announcement of an end to Jammu and Kashmir’s special status, as well as splitting it into two Union Territories. Since the announcement on August 5, 2019, India has launched a wide-ranging crackdown on civil society and dissidents, with thousands of arrests under laws permitting detention for months or years; three former Chief Ministers have been held without charge and the entire Kashmir Valley, which has suffered from decades of human rights violations, has been under a partial communications blockade.

In August 2019, the Government of Assam published the final draft of the National Register of Citizens (NRC), which excluded almost two million people. For the last 15 years, the quasi-judicial Foreigners Tribunals have wreaked havoc in Assam by arbitrarily denying people their citizenship. The Foreigners Tribunals have declared Indian citizens to be foreigners for minor spelling mistakes in their names or for their inability to provide detailed documents or recall minute ancestral details dating back 50 years or more.

Related to the NRC is the Citizenship Amendment Act (CAA) and its explicitly discriminatory application only to non-Muslims. When coupled with the NRC, the CAA has the potential to render Muslims stateless and cause one of the world’s largest statelessness crises. The Indian Home Minister, Amit Shah, said as much: “We will selectively throw out all infiltrators and this task [NRC] will be undertaken by the BJP before 2024.”

Large protests broke out across India in reaction to the CAA. Many of these demonstrations have been brutally suppressed by the police. Police often imposed Section 144 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, a British colonial era law, to ban almost all protests in the states of Karnataka and Uttar Pradesh. This brutal crackdown was especially evident in Uttar Pradesh, where at least 20 people were killed. About 25 people, including an eight-year-old in the Prime Minister’s constituency of Varanasi, have been killed in anti-CAA demonstrations. More recently, police have failed to protect students and faculty from a masked mob armed with iron rods and sledgehammers at a peace march in Jawaharlal Nehru University.

India’s civil society has experienced significant challenges even prior to these events. On October 25, 2018, Amnesty India endured a ten-hour-long raid conducted by a group of officers from a financial investigation agency under the Ministry of Finance. Similar action was undertaken against Greenpeace India in early October of last year, and other human rights NGOs have faced similar actions.

One of the repressive foreign funding laws that is often used by government authorities to obstruct the work of NGOs is the Foreign Contribution Regulation Act (FCRA). Authorities have cited financial ‘irregularities’ and activities that are against ‘public interest’ and ‘national interest’ to cancel numerous NGOs’ foreign funding licenses under the FCRA. Successive governments have used the FCRA to harass groups holding dissenting opinions. Organizations targeted under this law include Lawyers Collective, People’s Watch, Sabrang Trust, and Navsarjan Trust.
TALKING POINTS:

• The United States-India relationship is founded on shared democratic and rights-respecting values. It is incumbent on both of us to uphold international human rights norms, which are at the core of those values. A healthy civil society is in the interest of a prosperous India and should be encouraged, not stifled.

• We worry about regional security if India goes ahead with a National Registration of Citizens under the new Citizenship Amendment Act because the potential to leave millions stateless is high, causing unimaginable human suffering and security risks.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

• The United States should use all diplomatic and economic tools to call for a human rights dialogue with India where issues such as Kashmir, civil society crackdown, religious freedom, and refugees are raised.

• The United States should, through global funds and unilaterally, fund civil society and human rights groups to operate in India to monitor human rights and push back on closing space for civil society.

• The United States should push the United Nations for an independent and impartial fact-finding mission in Kashmir.
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